

Dear Legislators:

Re: The issue of Immunity.& Violating the citizens state and federal Constitutional Rights of Civil Process of Remedy.

" No one, not even the President is above the law. - Nancy Pelosi, Chuck Schumer, and many many others including Trump.  
by giving Police immunity are you not placing them ABOVE the law?

I will ask you a simple question on the issue, your wife, your daughter, your son, perhaps yourself one night get stopped by a peace officer, and things go south from there, you or they get arrested just on the basis the cop has had a bad night, is a jerk, his hung over, whatever reason you are introduced to the states criminal justice system. It pays out, you hired a lawyer at \$250.00 per hour, the cops video just happened to stop working which would have cleared you of the allegations, eventually the charges are dropped or you are found not guilty, should the peace officer not be held liable for his actions? Would you want to sue the cop to recover all the legal fees and court costs? maybe the medical bills to get your son counseling as now he's actually scared of police? the nation saw the video of the little kid who hid when he saw a cop car....I bet you would want to sue for the financial damages, medical costs, and violations of your rights if some cop screwed the pooch....

I guess I am one of the uninformed and obtuse interest groups, tho I am a lone individual. On the issue of removing liability protections for police, they are not being forthcoming on the issue. " No one ever intentionally goes into a situation to use excessive force ". That is a load of bullshit if not laughable, how about a peace officer who's arrested for domestic abuse and is later arrested for a malicious assault of two adult men on a public street for which he's arrested again and given a little slap on the hand with reduced charges and a \$90.00 fine? He was still allow to wear his badge and apparently keep his weapon, now if it were me or you, we would lose that right based on the arrest and plea deals. I have seen a few cops over many years assault individuals, the last incident involved a peace officer that " Attacked " a young black male with no provocation at all, he committed no crime, was not wanted by authorities, and was grabbed and slammed up against the trunk of a car and his head repeatedly BOUNCED off the trunk of the car. His crime a month or two prior to this attack and assault he had contact with the peace officer and engaged in, ready? " Contempt of cop " that was the justification of the assault and No arrest of the individual, eventually the cop was terminated as he was just aggressive and violent.

How about another cop in a small area town that loves to patrol the town looking for young kids, he finds say a kid riding his skate board on a sidewalk and will confront the kid and bait the kid into a response of " Contempt of cop " he will handcuff the kid, put him in a patrol car and drive around town with the youth. As some point he will pull behind a building like a shopping center and pull the kid out, rough him up a bit to exercise his macho manliness to the teenager and let him go - telling him if he complaints he will just say the kid " hit him " a Class C Felony and he let the kid go as he did not want to put him into the system and will just deny everything else the kid claims and who will they believe a cop or punk kid? How about a

cop that gets dumped by his girlfriend and he starts stalking her, rattling her home door knobs in the middle of the night and more? I know a girl this happened too, it stopped once I advised her of what to do and say to her local police department as originally they ignored her complaints.

Allow me to point out a small section of the United States Constitution:

Amendment V - nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation. ( Everyone has the right of legal due process.

Amendment VII - the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

Amendment XIV - Section 1.... nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

By giving police wide immunity you are violating the rights of citizens being Due Process, a right to a Jury Trial in Civil Complaints, and you are denying them the equal protections of the law, they have the legal right of due process to file suit for injuries and damages done to them under the law, in effect you allow them to thwart Civil and in many instances Criminal accountability.

Under Connecticut State Constitution:

SEC. 1. All men when they form a social compact, are equal in rights; and no man or set of men are entitled to exclusive public emoluments or privileges from the community. ( This speaks volumes )

SEC. 8. ....due process of law, nor shall excessive bail be required nor excessive fines imposed.

\*\*\*\*\* SEC. 10. All courts shall be open, and every person, for an injury done to him in his person, property or reputation, shall have remedy by due course of law, and right and justice administered without sale, denial or delay. \*\*\*\*\* Giving them blanket immunity and you violate this section of the state constitution.

Are YOU willing to deny the legal rights of citizens just on the basis of their profession? SEC. 20. No person shall be denied the equal protection of the law nor be subjected to segregation or discrimination in the exercise or enjoyment of his civil or political rights because of religion, race, color, ancestry or national origin.

Everyone who's in a position to impose the rule of law upon others LOVE the law, but when it's applied to them, than they don't love it so much is the golden rule.